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Introduction
A plethora of research on adult second language (L2) learning capacity often takes as its starting point studies where considerable L2 knowledge has already been accumulated. The present study instead explores the absolute earliest stages of learning and investigates the sequential and phonetic knowledge of adult English speakers after ‘minimal exposure’ or ‘first exposure’ to a new language. Arthur and Kausar, doing so along the lines of Carroll (2001; 2013) and Goldberg et al. (2010; 2012). Research shows that babies demonstrate sensitivity to linguistic patterns in the ambient input from early on, even before they comprehend their first voice (Jacoby 295). However, adult L2 learners are assumed to be far more able. With few exceptions, this assumption has not been put to the test under real learning conditions. The current study explores this issue in the context of an actual language course which used a listening comprehensibility approach, with an focus on forms. English-speaking adults learning Arabic were exposed to audio input with the support of pictures in 20-minute classes for 10 weeks. The study reveals that first-exposure learners of Arabic and Russian show some of the same early sensitivity to the phonetic and phonological properties of a new language as babies do.

Following this introduction, this paper will proceed to review the relevant literature about first-exposure studies, identify the research question in this study, present the phonologies of the three languages in question (i.e. English, Syrian Arabic and Russian), and finally present the study methodology, results and discussion.

say that when we want to know how much learners are aware of and understand the ‘coherence’ of passages, we can have them predict what comes next or add a couple of following sentences (or fragments). In other works, good students of English can guess the logical development of passages and are creative enough to add appropriate information. Also, the correlation between Section B and D is rather strong (666). This indicates that learners can make a logical prediction about information after reading a passage, they can also add logical information to a given key sentence, or vice versa.
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